IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Civil Revision No. 6174 of 2004

Date of Decision: 13.10.2006

Budh Ram

...Petitioner.

Versus

Satpal and others

...Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL.

PRESENT: Mr. R.L.Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S.K.Arora, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 3.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J.

In this revision petition order dated 11.12.2004 passed by the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Mansa whereby the police help was granted to decree-holders for the execution of warrants of possession dated 15.6.2004, is under challenge.

This Court while issuing notice of motion on December 17, 2004 after noticing contention of counsel for the petitioner had restrained respondents No.1 to 3 from alienating 1/15th share of the property in dispute.

Learned counsel for the parties at the outset agreed that this revision petition be disposed of by making the aforesaid interim order absolute during the pendency of the suit and the trial court be directed to decide the application filed by Budh Ram defendant-judgment-debtor regarding the inheritance of share of Smt. Kaushalya Devi expeditiously.

In view of the above, the present revision petition is disposed of

with a direction to the trial court to decide the said application filed by Budh Ram as early as possible preferably on or before 30.4.2007. However, during the pendency of the said application, respondents No.1 to 3 herein shall not alienate 1/15th share as directed by this Court on 17.12.2004.

October 13, 2006 **(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)**
gbs **JUDGE**